



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/512,500		02/24/2000	Yasumasa Kuroba	2803.63637	5652	
24978	7590	04/23/2004		EXAMINER		
•					AUTAM	
300 S WAC 25TH FLO				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO	, IL 6060			2655		
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/512,50	00	KUROBA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Gautam R		2655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evition. rs, a reply within the state, period will apply and with state to state.	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 8 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 18 March 2004.						
	_	This action is n	on-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 and 8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-6 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infon	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2655

Response to Amendment:

1. This is in response to amendment filed on 3-8-04 (Paper # 14).

2. Claims 1-2 and 8 remain for examination.

Election/Restriction

3. Claims 3-6 **remains withdrawn** from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected species there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made with traverse in Paper No. 4. Election was made final in paper no. 5.

Applicant is reminded that **upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended** in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

The Applicants are urged to cancel non-elected claims 3-6.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of

Art Unit: 2655

each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohmi, US. patent 5,936,787 (hereafter Ohmi).

As to claim 1, Ohmi discloses the invention as claimed [see Figs. 3-6] including detecting vibration detecting phase determining write start and moving head comprising the steps of:

detecting continuous vibration of the medium, said continuous vibration being asynchronous with the rotational frequency of said spindle motor;

detecting the phase of the detected asynchronous continuous vibration [col. 3, lines 19-58];

determining the write start sector **or** the write end sector **or** the write start time **or** the write end time of each servo track based on said detected phase of the asynchronous continuous vibration [col. 9, lines 3-25 and col. 9, line 66 to col. 10, line 27]; and

moving said head by said head moving mechanism on said recording surface where said head positioning information is to be written and writing said information based on said write start sector or said write end sector [col. 2, line 66 to col. 3, line 9 and col. 9, lines 3-25];

Ohmi discloses all of the above elements, including detecting vibration detecting phase determining write start and moving head. Ohmi does not specifically disclose in his present embodiment that only phase [frequency] can be used to determine start or end sector time to the extent claimed.

However, use of phase of the fluctuations to control the sector position is well known in the art for a while [e.g. see JPO patent abstract, Pub. No. 01048276]. Also Ohmi himself clearly discloses that his system can be modified such that only a particular specified frequency component [i.e. phase] alone can be extracted can be used in place of phase and amplitude by his phase adjustment unit 25 [col. 10, lines 1-11].

Art Unit: 2655

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have realized that all system designs are done with cost and thus component count in mind and any reduction in component caount that6 can be done is a good system change to he so as to save money of component and reduce complexity of the design.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to have used concept of signal generated form phase only in the system of Ohmi as taught by Ohmi because one would be motivated to reduce cost of te system and increase reliability of the system by reducing the component count when those component are not needed.

NOTE: Ohmi discloses that error signals from rotational and axial direction are combined to produce a single adjustment signal. In other words write start sector place and time are inherently defined and writing starts accordingly.

6. As to new claim 8, Ohmi discloses:

said continuous asynchronous vibration is detected with a displacement gauge [fig. 1, units 14 & 18] [col. 3, lines 10-18]

NOTE: Circuit 14 function as a "displacement gauge" as it detects the counter electromotive force.

Ohmi was cited as prior art reference in paper no. 5, mailed 3-20-03.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 2 is objected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

NOTE: Claim 2 is allowable over the prior art of record since the cited references taken individually or in combination fails to particularly disclose a method of writing a servo track for disk file apparatus wherein "the step of determining the start sector or write end sector includes the sub-step of setting a servo track write position is behind the phase of

Art Unit: 2655

the peak amplitude of the vibration in case where fc< fr [as defined by equation [(1-fc/fr) x 180] degree]. And write start position ahead of the phase of the peak amplitude of vibration, when fc > fr.". It is noted that the closest prior art, Ohmi. (US 5,936,787) shows a similar apparatus which reads the vibration phase and frequency and adjust the head and also picks the write spot based on both phase and amplitude or simply based on phase only. However Ohmi fails to disclose details of the write pulse and when to place write pulse ahead or behind on what criteria as claimed by the Applicants.

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 8 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gautam R. Patel whose telephone number is (703) 308-7940. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6.

The appropriate fax number for the organization (Group 2650) where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Doris To can be reached on (703) 305-4827.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700 or the group Customer Service section whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Cer Patel

Gautam R. Patel Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2655

April 22, 2004